

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/817.3	91 04/25	797 KORNFLAT	S	TPP-30422

IM31/1123

EXAMINER

THOMAS P. PAVELKO.ESQ. STEVENS.DAVIS.MILLER & MOSHER.LLP 1615 L STREET NW SUITE 850 WASHINGTON DC 20036 JONES . K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1732 (4)

DATE MAILED:

11/23/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/817,391

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Kornflat et al.

Group Art Unit

		Kenneth Jones	1732			
ТН	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]					
	a) X expires 3 months from the mailing date of the	e final rejection.				
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been determining the period of extension and the corresponding amoun calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory	filed is the date of the response and all int of the fee. Any extension fee pursu	also the date for thus to the date of the	he purposes of		
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the period for response set forth above, whichever is later).	. See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 C	CFR 1.192(a).			
	oplicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Oct</u> t is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for		d with the follo	wing effect,		
X	The proposed amendment(s):					
	X will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and	d an Appeal Brief.				
	will not be entered because:					
	$\hfill \square$ they raise new issues that would require further	consideration and/or search. (Se	e note below).	•		
	$\hfill\Box$ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note be					
	they are not deemed to place the application in b issues for appeal.					
	$\hfill\Box$ they present additional claims without cancelling	a corresponding number of finall	ly rejected clair	ms.		
	NOTE: The proposed after-final amendments will be	e entered because the amendmer	nts clarify the o	claims without		
	changing the scope of the claims.					
	Applicant's response has overcome the following response has overcome the following response to	would be	e allowable if s	ubmitted in a		
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has for allowance because:		place the applic	cation in condition		
	e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by a Examiner in the final rejection.					
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as for	ollows (see attached written expl	lanation, if any	·):		
	Claims allowed:			T		
	Claims objected to:					
	Claims rejected: 3, 4, and 6-14					
	The proposed drawing correction filed on		n approved by	the Examiner		
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s),	PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	pe	u Hall cough		
X	Other In light of Applicants' remarks, the rejection of consistency withdrawn. Claims 3, 4, 6-14, however, stand of Lindgren et al. substantially for the reasons given	rejected over Munk in view of		I H. SILBAUGH RY PATENT EXAMINER RT UNIT 3371782 Ultoolage		